Updated Evidence-Based Treatment Algorithm in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension

Robyn J. Barst, MD,* J. Simon R. Gibbs, MD,† Hossein A. Ghofrani, MD,‡ Marius M. Hoeper, MD,§ Vallerie V. McLaughlin, MD,|| Lewis J. Rubin, MD,¶ Olivier Sitbon, MD,# Victor F. Tapson, MD,** Nazzareno Galiè, MD††

New York, New York; London, United Kingdom; Giessen, and Hannover, Germany; Ann Arbor, Michigan; La Jolla, California; Clamart, France; Durham, North Carolina; and Bologna, Italy

Uncontrolled and controlled clinical trials with different compounds and procedures are reviewed to define the riskbenefit profiles for therapeutic options in pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH). A grading system for the level of evidence of treatments based on the controlled clinical trials performed with each compound is used to propose an evidence-based treatment algorithm. The algorithm includes drugs approved by regulatory agencies for the treatment of PAH and/or drugs available for other indications. The different treatments have been evaluated mainly in idiopathic PAH, heritable PAH, and in PAH associated with the scleroderma spectrum of diseases or with anorexigen use. Extrapolation of these recommendations to other PAH subgroups should be done with caution. Oral anticoagulation is proposed for most patients; diuretic treatment and supplemental oxygen are indicated in cases of fluid retention and hypoxemia, respectively. High doses of calcium-channel blockers are indicated only in the minority of patients who respond to acute vasoreactivity testing. Nonresponders to acute vasoreactivity testing or responders who remain in World Health Organization (WHO) functional class III, should be considered candidates for treatment with either an oral phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor or an oral endothelin-receptor antagonist. Continuous intravenous administration of epoprostenol remains the treatment of choice in WHO functional class IV patients. Combination therapy is recommended for patients treated with PAH monotherapy who remain in WHO functional class III. Atrial septostomy and lung transplantation are indicated for refractory patients or where medical treatment is unavailable. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54:S78-84) © 2009 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation

In 1891, Ernst von Romberg, a German physician, described an autopsy subject as having "pulmonary vascular sclerosis"; however, it is only since 1995 with the introduction of intravenous epoprostenol that disease-specific targeted medical therapies for pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) have become available. Furthermore, significant advances in the treatment of PAH have occurred during the past 15 years. Currently 9 medical therapies have either received regulatory approval or are under regulatory review. These agents target the prostacyclin pathway, the nitric oxide pathway, and the endothelin pathway. Combination trials have demonstrated additive or synergistic benefit by targeting 2 or all 3 of these pathways.

Until the 1980s, attempts to reduce pulmonary arterial pressure were performed with nonselective (pulmonary and systemic) vasodilators. Favorable and sustained results were convincingly shown only with the use of high doses of calcium-channel blockers (CCBs) and only in the minority of patients who responded to acute vasoreactivity testing (1-6). In addition, oral anticoagulant treatment was considered effective on the basis of retrospective or uncontrolled studies (1,7-9). In the 1990s, treatment with continuous IV administration of epoprostenol was shown in 3 nonblinded randomized clinical trials (RCTs) to improve symptoms, exercise capacity, and hemodynamic status in PAH and to improve survival in idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension (IPAH)/heritable pulmonary arterial hypertension (HPAH) (10-12). During that period, favorable results of several uncontrolled series of PAH patients who underwent atrial septostomy or lung transplantation were also reported (13-16).

Twenty RCTs with 9 new compounds as monotherapy have been completed in PAH patients (10–12,17–31). In addition, 6 RCTs testing combinations of agents (e.g., endothelin-

From *Columbia University, New York, New York; †Department of Clinical Cardiology, National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, and Department of Cardiology, Hammersmith Hospital, London, United Kingdom; ‡Division of Pulmonary Hypertension, Department of Medicine, University Hospital Giessen and Marburg GmbH, Giessen, Germany; \$Department of Respiratory Medicine, University of Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany; ||Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Disease, University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor, Michigan; ¶Pulmonary Hypertension Program, University of California San Diego Medical Center, La Jolla, California; #Centre des Maladies Vasculaires et Pulmonaires, Hôpital Antoine Béclère, Clamart, France; **Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina; and the ††Pulmonary Hypertension Center, Institute of Cardiology, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy. Please see the end of this article for each author's conflict of interest information.

Manuscript received February 6, 2009, accepted April 15, 2009.

receptor antagonists [ERAs] and phosphodiesterase [PDE]-5 inhibitors, or prostanoid and ERA or PDE-5 inhibitors) have been completed (32–37). Approximately 5,000 patients have participated in these studies aimed at developing effective treatments for PAH.

The conclusions derived from clinical trials over the past 15 years have provided us with an evidence-based treatment strategy. The purpose of the present report is to review the RCTs performed in PAH and to propose an evidence-based updated treatment algorithm that incorporates currently available therapies. This algorithm can be used worldwide, subject to the availability of specific drug therapies.

Uncontrolled Clinical Studies in PAH

Anticoagulants. The evidence for favorable effects of oral anticoagulant treatment in patients with IPAH, HPAH, or PAH associated with anorexigens is based on retrospective analyses from 7 studies, of which 5 were positive and 2 were negative (1,7-9). The survival of anticoagulated patients selected on the basis of clinical judgment was improved, as compared with a concurrent population that was not treated with oral anticoagulants. Three-year survival improved from 21% to 49% in the series reported by Fuster et al. (7); and the 3- and 5-year survival rates increased from 31% to 47% and from 31% to 62%, respectively, in the series reported by Rich et al. (1). These studies were not randomized, and one can argue that the lower survival of the control groups could be related to comorbidity that precluded the use of anticoagulation in the untreated patients. In addition, only IPAH, HPAH, and anorexigen-related PAH patients were included in the studies. In recent RCTs, approximately 70% of patients were treated with oral anticoagulants (10-12,17-37). Interestingly, the highest prevalence of oral anticoagulant treatment was seen in the trials involving mainly IPAH and HPAH patients in World Health Organization (WHO) functional class III and IV, whereas the lowest prevalence was observed in a trial of patients with scleroderma. It should be emphasized that there is no evidence of any difference in the efficacy of oral anticoagulant therapy on the basis of functional class severity. Diuretics, digoxin, and oxygen. The symptomatic and clinical benefits of diuretic treatment in right heart failure preclude the need for controlled trials to demonstrate efficacy in PAH. In recent RCTs with new treatments, approximately 50% to 70% of patients were treated with diuretics (38,39). The lack of trials with specific classes of diuretics in PAH and individual variability in responses leave the choice of the type and dose of drug to be used in individual cases to the experience of the physician.

Short-term intravenous (IV) administration of digoxin in IPAH produces a modest increase in cardiac output and a significant reduction in circulating norepinephrine (40); no data are available on the effects of long-term treatment. Accordingly, the use of digitalis in PAH patients is based primarily on the judgment of the physician rather than on scientific evidence of efficacy. Digoxin was administered to

Abbreviations and Acronyms CCB = calcium-channel blocker ERA = endothelin receptor antagonist ET_A = endothelin receptor A $ET_{B} = endothelin receptor B$ **HPAH** = heritable pulmonary arterial hypertension **INR** = international normalized ratio **IPAH** = idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension IV = intravenous **PAH** = pulmonary arterial hypertension PDE = phosphodiesterase **PH** = pulmonary hypertension **RCT** = randomized controlled trial WHO = World Health Organization

approximately 25% to 50% of patients in recent RCTs in PAH (38).

No consistent data are currently available on the effects of longterm oxygen treatment in PAH. Although improvement in pulmonary hypertension (PH) with lowflow supplemental oxygen has been reported in some PAH patients (41), this has not been confirmed in controlled trials. In a controlled study in patients with Eisenmenger syndrome, nocturnal oxygen therapy had no effect on hematologic variables, quality of life, or survival (42); in contrast, a previous study suggested increased survival (43).

CCBs. Favorable clinical and prognostic effects of high doses of oral CCB drugs in acutely vasore-active patients with IPAH have been shown in single-center, non-randomized, uncontrolled studies (1–6). In these studies, the control group consisted of nonresponders,

who might have a poorer prognosis, as compared with acutely vasoreactive individuals (3). Furthermore, the demonstration of a consistent reduction of pulmonary artery pressure by acute pharmacologic testing in vasoreactive patients raises ethical questions concerning the appropriateness of performing placebo-controlled clinical trials in these patients.

A definition of "a positive acute vasoreactive response" to predict long-term response with high-dose oral CCBs was proposed at the 3rd World Symposium on Pulmonary Hypertension in 2003 (5). With this definition—reduction of mean pulmonary arterial pressure ≥ 10 mm Hg to reach a mean pulmonary arterial pressure ≤ 40 mm Hg with a normalized or increased cardiac output with acute pulmonary vasodilator challenge with either inhaled nitric oxide or intravenous epoprostenol—<10% of IPAH patients have a positive acute vasoactive response.

Favorable results of long-term administration of high doses of oral CCBs have also been shown in children with IPAH (4,6). In contrast, the effects of high-dose CCBs on associated forms of PAH have not yet been clearly demonstrated (41). Acute vasodilator testing is recommended for all PAH patients, even though patients with IPAH and anorexigeninduced PAH are more likely to respond. Furthermore, although functional class IV patients are less likely to respond than functional class II and III patients, some functional class IV patients might respond favorably to acute vasodilator testing and might benefit from CCBs; however, it is recommended that these patients be evaluated in a specialized PH center. Empirical treatment with CCBs without a positive response with acute vasodilator testing using either inhaled nitric oxide or IV epoprostenol is contraindicated (41).

Surgical and interventional procedures. Lung transplantation or atrial septostomy might be indicated in select patients who progress despite optimal medical therapy or for whom medical therapy is not available. Lung transplantation and atrial septostomy are discussed in detail in another article in this supplement (44).

Controlled Clinical Trials in PAH

Synthetic prostacyclin and prostacyclin analogues. The efficacy of continuous IV administration of epoprostenol (synthetic prostacyclin) has been evaluated in 3 unblinded, controlled clinical trials: 2 in IPAH/HPAH (10,11), and 1 in PAH associated with the scleroderma spectrum of diseases (12). Although IV epoprostenol improves symptoms, exercise capacity, and hemodynamic status in both clinical conditions, survival was increased only in IPAH and HPAH.

Five RCTs with 3 prostacyclin analogues as monotherapy have been performed in PAH patients (19,45). The effects of continuous subcutaneous administration of treprostinil were assessed in a pilot RCT in which the improvement in exercise capacity was not statistically significant (45). In the 2 pivotal RCTs, improvements were reported in symptoms, exercise capacity, and hemodynamic status (19). Continuous IV administration of treprostinil seems to be safe and effective on the basis of 2 small, open-label, uncontrolled studies in patients with PAH (46,47).

The orally active prostacyclin analogue beraprost was evaluated in PAH patients in 2 RCTs, 1 in Europe (20) and 1 in the U.S. (23). In the first study, an increase in exercise capacity was seen after 3 months. In the second, which lasted 12 months, improvement in exercise capacity was observed at 3 and 6 months but not thereafter (23). No hemodynamic improvements were observed in the 12-month study, and clinical events were reduced only at the 6-month evaluation.

Inhaled iloprost as monotherapy was evaluated in 1 RCT that enrolled patients with both PAH and chronic thromboembolic PH (21). Overall, this study showed an increase in exercise capacity and improvement in symptoms, pulmonary vascular resistance, and clinical events in PH patients. Continuous IV administration of iloprost was shown to be effective in a small, open-label, uncontrolled series of patients with PAH and chronic thromboembolic PH (48).

Endothelin receptor antagonists. Nine RCTs using 1 of 3 ERAs as monotherapy have been performed in PAH patients. The orally active endothelin receptors A and B (ET_A/ET_B) ERA bosentan was evaluated in 4 RCTs in PAH patients (17,22,27,30,49), including 1 RCT performed in a cohort of patients with the Eisenmenger syndrome (27) and 1 RCT performed in a cohort of patients with only mildly symptomatic PAH (30). Overall, bosentan improved exercise capacity, functional class, hemodynamic status, echocardiographic and Doppler variables, and time to clinical worsening (17,22,27,31,49). Small increases in the dose of warfarin might

be required to maintain therapeutic international normalized ratio (INR) when bosentan is coadministered with warfarin.

Sitaxsentan, an orally active ET_{A} selective ERA, has been assessed in PAH patients in 2 RCTs, both of which demonstrated improvement in exercise capacity (assessed by the 6-min walk test) and hemodynamic status (25,28,50). In 1 of the 2 studies (25), the primary end point (peak oxygen consumption as assessed by cardiopulmonary exercise testing) was not statistically significant. Coadministration of sitaxsentan and warfarin requires the reduction of the warfarin dose up to 80% to maintain a therapeutic INR, due to a drug-drug interaction.

Ambrisentan, an orally active ET_A selective ERA, has been evaluated in 3 RCTs (29,51,52). Results showed improvements in exercise capacity and clinical events that seem similar to the results observed with the other 2 ERAs.

On the basis of the results of RCTs using ERAs, the incidence of elevated hepatic transaminases ≥ 3 times the upper limit of normal seems to be approximately 10% with bosentan, approximately 4% with sitaxsentan, and approximately 2% with ambrisentan. The patient populations in the various RCTs differed, and these numbers should be considered only as approximations and may not be comparable.

PDE-5 inhibitors. Two RCTs with 2 different PDE-5 inhibitors have been performed in PAH patients (26,31). Used as monotherapy, both sildenafil and tadalafil improved exercise capacity and hemodynamic status in approximately 50% of enrolled patients; tadalafil also improved clinical events (31).

The optimal agent for PAH monotherapy remains unclear.

Combination therapy. More recently, combination treatment has been evaluated to address the multiple pathobiologic mechanisms present in PAH. The combination of oral bosentan and IV epoprostenol was investigated in 1 small study, with inconclusive results (32). Five additional RCTs have evaluated combination therapy in PAH. The addition of inhaled iloprost to background oral bosentan demonstrated improved hemodynamic status and clinical events in 1 RCT (35); however, these results were not confirmed in an open trial (34). In another study, the addition of oral sildenafil to background IV epoprostenol demonstrated improved exercise capacity, hemodynamic status, and clinical events; furthermore, in post hoc analysis, the addition of oral sildenafil to background IV epoprostenol increased survival versus IV epoprostenol alone (37). In the pivotal tadalafil RCT, approximately 50% of the patients had oral tadalafil added to background oral bosentan; in that study overall, tadalafil improved exercise capacity, hemodynamic status, and clinical events (31). Inhaled treprostinil has also been studied as add-on therapy to either background bosentan or background sildenafil; in both combinations, the addition of inhaled treprostinil improved exercise capacity (36). These studies support the efficacy of combination treatment in patients who remain symptomatic on monotherapy. The optimal combination on the basis of overall risk-benefit considerations remains unknown.

Although there seems to be an interaction between sildenafil and bosentan (increased bosentan and decreased sildenafil

levels) (53), the clinical relevance of this is unclear. Similarly, although the interaction between tadalafil and bosentan is less than that between sildenafil and bosentan (i.e., tadalafil exposure decreased with minimal changes in bosentan exposure) (54), the clinical relevance is also unknown. Tadalafil has also been evaluated in the presence of ambrisentan, with no clinically relevant pharmacokinetic interactions reported (55). There is no clinically relevant pharmacokinetic interaction between ambrisentan and sildenafil (56), with no dose adjustment of ambrisentan or sildenafil recommended compared with administration of either drug alone. There is a minimal interaction reported between sitaxsentan and sildenafil, with no changes in sitaxsentan plasma concentrations in the presence of sildenafil and only modest increases in sildenafil plasma concentrations (57). Overall, no dose adjustments have been recommended for patients treated with 1 of the aforementioned ERAs in combination with either sildenafil or tadalafil. Early intervention. For functional class II or III patients, the role of early aggressive intervention (i.e., IV epoprostenol as first-line treatment), either as monotherapy or in conjunction with either a PDE-5 inhibitor and/or an ERA, remains unknown. Although the first RCTs in PAH focused primarily on functional class III and IV patients, results from a more recent RCT evaluating the efficacy of bosentan in only mildly symptomatic PAH patients support early intervention (30). In addition, prespecified subgroup analyses of the sildenafil, tadalafil, and ambrisentan RCTs did not show any significant differences in the therapeutic efficacy of these drugs between patients in WHO functional classes II and III (30). The apparent lack of "catch-up" in placebo-treated patients supports early intervention in PAH (41). Future studies seem warranted.

General comments on controlled clinical trials. Although these studies have similar designs, treatment duration, and end points, analyses of baseline WHO functional class and etiology profiles show substantial differences. Accordingly, comparisons might be misleading. Improvement of exercise capacity as assessed by the 6-min walk test has been observed in all of these studies, albeit to different degrees. In evaluating the clinical relevance of exercise capacity improvements, additional elements, such as baseline functional class, effects on combined clinical events (e.g., hospital stays, mortality, rescue therapies),



Figure 1 FAIT Evidence-based Treatment Algorithm

Drugs within the same grade of evidence are listed in alphabetical order and not order of preference. Not all agents listed are approved or available for use in all countries. Strengths of recommendations are defined in Table 1. *To maintain oxygen at 92%. +Investigational, under regulatory review. APAH = associated pulmonary arterial hypertension; ERA = endothelin receptor antagonist; HPAH = heritable pulmonary arterial hypertension; IPAH = idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension; IV = intravenous; PAH = pulmonary arterial hypertension; PDE-5 = phosphodiesterase type 5; SC = subcutaneous; WHO = World Health Organization.

Table 1	Quality of Evidence, Net Benefit,
	and Strength of Recommendation

Variables	Description			
Quality of the evidence				
Good	Evidence is based on good randomized controlled trials or meta-analyses.			
Fair	Evidence is based on other controlled trials or randomized controlled trials with minor flaws.			
Low	Evidence is based on nonrandomized, case- control, or other observational studies.			
Expert opinion	Evidence is based on the consensus of the carefully selected panel of experts in the topic field.			
	There are no studies that meet the criteria for inclusion in the published reports review.			
Net benefit				
Substantial				
Intermediate				
Small/weak				
None				
Conflicting				
Negative				
Strength of recommendation				
Α	Strong recommendation			
В	Moderate recommendation			
С	Weak recommendation			
D	Negative recommendation			
L	No recommendation possible (inconclusive)			
E/A	Strong recommendation on the basis of expert opinion only			
E/B	Moderate recommendation on the basis of expert opinion only			
E/C	Weak recommendation on the basis of expert opinion only			
E/D	Negative recommendation on the basis of expert opinion only			

and hemodynamic effects, should be considered. As mentioned previously, a survival benefit has been demonstrated in only 1 controlled, third-party-blinded study of IV epoprostenol in patients with severe IPAH/HPAH (11). Because, on the basis of these results, IV epoprostenol is considered rescue therapy, subsequent RCTs assessing mortality as an end point could not ethically be performed. Furthermore, severely ill subjects requiring IV epoprostenol treatment were excluded in recent RCTs, resulting in a low mortality in these study populations. A recent meta-analysis performed on all RCTs in PAH patients published through October 2008 reports a 43% decrease in mortality and a 61% reduction in hospital stays in patients treated with targeted therapies versus patients randomized to placebo (39). These results, achieved after an average treatment period of 14.3 weeks, support the efficacy of the currently approved PAH treatments.

Evidence-Based Treatment Algorithm

A treatment algorithm based on a consensus of the PH community evaluating the clinical trials presented in this review is presented in Figure 1. The recommendations in this guideline are based on a grading system in which the strength of the recommendation results from the interaction of 2 components: the quality of the evidence, and the net benefit of the therapy (Tables 1 and 2). Because treatments have been evaluated primarily in IPAH, HPAH, and PAH associated with scleroderma or anorexigen use, extrapolation of these recommendations to other PAH subgroups should be done with caution.

Conclusions

The suggested initial approach after the diagnosis of PAH is to treat patients with oral anticoagulant drugs if no contraindication exists, diuretics in cases of fluid retention, and supplemental oxygen in cases of hypoxemia, even though RCTs with these compounds are lacking. Patients should be referred without delay to centers experienced in acute vasoreactivity testing and the treatment of pulmonary vascular diseases. Acute vasoreactivity testing should be performed in all patients with PAH, although patients with IPAH, HPAH, and PAH associated with anorexigen use are the most likely to exhibit a positive response. Vasoreactive patients, as defined in the preceding text, should be treated with optimally tolerated doses of CCBs; maintenance of response, defined as WHO functional class I or II with near-normal hemodynamic status, should be confirmed by repeat right heart catheterization and clinical assessment after 3 to 6 months of treatment. Nonresponders to acute vasoreactivity testing or responders who remain in WHO functional class III should be considered candidates for treatment with either a PDE-5 inhibitor or an ERA. Among prostanoids, treprostinil is administered subcutaneously, intravenously, or by inhalation; iloprost can be given intravenously or by inhalation; beraprost is administered orally, and epoprostenol is administered intravenously.

The choice of drug is dependent on a variety of factors, including the approval status, route of administration, sideeffect profile, patient preference, and the physician's experience and clinical judgment. Continuous IV epoprostenol remains first-line therapy for PAH patients in WHO functional class IV, because of its demonstrated survival benefit in IPAH/

Table 2 Relationship of Strength of the Recommendations Scale to Quality of Evidence and Net Benefits

		Net Benefit						
Quality of Evidence	Substantial	Intermediate	Small/Weak	None	Conflicting	Negative		
Good	А	А	В	D	I	D		
Fair	А	В	С	D	I	D		
Low	В	С	С	1	I.	D		
Expert opinion	E/A	E/B	E/C	I	I	E/D		

See Table 1 for definition of designations

HPAH, with extrapolation to associated PAH patients in WHO functional class IV. Combination therapy should be considered for patients who fail to show improvement or who deteriorate with monotherapy. The goal in treating PAH patients is to improve WHO functional class III and IV patients to functional class I or II and to improve all functional class II patients to functional class I or at least to maintain functional class II in patients presenting in that functional class. Finally, both atrial septostomy and lung transplantation are indicated in carefully selected patients for refractory PAH or in cases where medical treatments are unavailable. These procedures should be performed only in experienced centers.

Major therapeutic advances for PAH patients have been achieved in the last decade; however, none of the currently approved therapies represents a cure for this progressive disease. The search for such treatments continues, with promising new concepts arising from a better understanding of the pathobiology of pulmonary vascular diseases. Patients and physicians should be encouraged to foster such research by participating in RCTs conducted at specialized PH centers.

Author Disclosures

Dr. Barst has received honoraria for serving as a consultant, advisory board member, and/or speaker from Actelion, Eli Lilly, GlaxoSmithKline, Gilead, Novartis, and Pfizer. Dr. Gibbs has received honoraria for advisory boards and/or lecturing from Actelion, Baver Schering, GlaxoSmithKline, Pfizer, and United Therapeutics, and has been an investigator in trials sponsored by BioMarin and Lung Rx. Dr. Ghofrani has received honoraria and research funds from Actelion, Bayer Schering, Encysive, ErgoNex Pharma, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, and Pfizer. Dr. Hoeper has received pharmaceutical grants from Actelion, Bayer Schering, and Encysive; travel accommodations and speaker's honoraria from Actelion, Encysive, GlaxoSmithKline, Lung Rx, Pfizer, and Schering; and has served as a consultant to Actelion, Bayer Schering, Encysive, GlaxoSmithKline, and Lung Rx. Dr. McLaughlin has received honoraria and/or consulting fees from Actelion, Gilead, MondoBIOTECH, and United Therapeutics. The University of Michigan has received research grants from Actelion, Pfizer, and United Therapeutics. Dr. Rubin has received research grants from Actelion, Gilead, the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, Pfizer, and United Therapeutics; and has served on advisory committees for Actelion, Gilead, and Pfizer; and served as a consultant for Actelion, Aires Pharmaceuticals, Bayer Schering Pharma, Cerulean Biosciences, Gilead, mondoBIOTECH, the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer, Solvay Pharmaceuticals, and United Therapeutics. He owns stock in United Therapeutics. Dr. Sitbon has received fees for lectures from Actelion, Bayer Schering, GlaxoSmithKline, Pfizer, and United Therapeutics; is a member of advisory boards for Actelion, GlaxoSmithKline, and Pfizer; and has received research grants from Actelion, Bayer Schering, GlaxoSmithKline, and Pfizer. Dr. Tapson has received research grants from Actelion, Gilead, GlaxoSmithKline,

Pfizer, and United Therapeutics; and has served as a consultant and on advisory boards for Actelion, Gilead, and United Therapeutics. Dr. Galiè has served on advisory boards for Actelion, Eli Lilly, Encysive, Gilead (Myogen), GlaxoSmithKline, mondoBIOTECH, Pfizer, and Schering; and has received lecture fees from Actelion and Schering. His Institute has received grant support from Actelion, Eli Lilly, Encysive, Gilead (Myogen), MondoBIOTECH, Pfizer, Schering, and United Therapeutics.

Reprint requests and correspondence: Dr. Robyn J. Barst, Professor Emerita of Pediatrics (in Medicine), Columbia University, 31 Murray Hill Road, Scarsdale, New York 10583. E-mail: robyn.barst@gmail.com.

REFERENCES

- Rich S, Kaufmann E, Levy PS. The effect of high doses of calciumchannel blockers on survival in primary pulmonary hypertension. N Engl J Med 1992;327:76-81.
- Rich S, Brundage BH. High-dose calcium channel-blocking therapy for primary pulmonary hypertension: evidence for long-term reduction in pulmonary arterial pressure and regression of right ventricular hypertrophy. Circulation 1987;76:135–41.
- Raffy O, Azarian R, Brenot F, et al. Clinical significance of the pulmonary vasodilator response during short-term infusion of prostacyclin in primary pulmonary hypertension. Circulation 1996;93:484–8.
- Barst RJ, Maislin G, Fishman AP. Vasodilator therapy for primary pulmonary hypertension in children. Circulation 1999;99:1197–208.
- Sitbon O, Humbert M, Jaïs X, et al. Long-term response to calcium channel blockers in idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension. Circulation 2005;111:3105–11.
- Yung D, Widlitz AC, Rosenzweig EB, Kerstein D, Maislin G, Barst RJ. Outcomes in children with idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension. Circulation 2004;110:660–5.
- Fuster V, Steele PM, Edwards WD, Gersh BJ, McGoon MD, Frye RL. Primary pulmonary hypertension: natural history and the importance of thrombosis. Circulation 1984;70:580–7.
- Frank H, Mlczoch J, Huber K, Schuster E, Gurtner HP, Kneussl M. The effect of anticoagulant therapy in primary and anorectic druginduced pulmonary hypertension. Chest 1997;112:714–21.
- Johnson SR, Mehta S, Granton JT. Anticoagulation in pulmonary arterial hypertension: a qualitative systematic review. Eur Respir J 2006;28:999–1004.
- Rubin LJ, Mendoza J, Hood M, et al. Treatment of primary pulmonary hypertension with continuous intravenous prostacyclin (epoprostenol): results of a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 1990;112:485–91.
- Barst RJ, Rubin LJ, Long WA, et al., for the Primary Pulmonary Hypertension Study Group. A comparison of continuous intravenous epoprostenol (prostacyclin) with conventional therapy for primary pulmonary hypertension. N Engl J Med 1996;334:296–301.
- Badesch DB, Tapson VF, McGoon MD, et al. Continuous intravenous epoprostenol for pulmonary hypertension due to the scleroderma spectrum of disease: a randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 2000;132:425–34.
- Kerstein D, Levy PS, Hsu DT, Hordof AJ, Gersony WM, Barst RJ. Blade balloon atrial septostomy in patients with severe primary pulmonary hypertension. Circulation 1995;91:2028–35.
- Sandoval J, Rothman A, Pulido T. Atrial septostomy for pulmonary hypertension. Clin Chest Med 2001;22:547–60.
- Klepetko W, Mayer E, Sandoval J, et al. Interventional and surgical modalities of treatment for pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;43:73S–80S.
- Sandoval J, Gaspar J, Pulido T, et al. Graded balloon dilation atrial septostomy in severe primary pulmonary hypertension: a therapeutic alternative for patients nonresponsive to vasodilator treatment. J Am Coll Cardiol 1998;32:297–304.
- 17. Channick RN, Simonneau G, Sitbon O, et al. Effects of the dual endothelin-receptor antagonist bosentan in patients with pulmonary

hypertension: a randomised placebo-controlled study. Lancet 2001; 358:1119-23.

- Langleben D, Christman BW, Barst RJ, et al. Effects of the thromboxane synthetase inhibitor and receptor antagonist terbogrel in patients with primary pulmonary hypertension. Am Heart J 2002;143:E4.
- Simonneau G, Barst RJ, Galiè N, et al., for the Treprostinil Study Group. Continuous subcutaneous infusion of treprostinil, a prostacyclin analogue, in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002;165:800–4.
- Galiè N, Humbert M, Vachiéry J-L, et al., and the Arterial Pulmonary Hypertension and Beraprost European Trial (ALPHABET) Study Group. Effects of beraprost sodium, an oral prostacyclin analogue, in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension: a randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;39:1496–502.
- Olschewski H, Simonneau G, Galiè N, et al., for the Aerosolized Iloprost Randomized Study Group. Inhaled iloprost in severe pulmonary hypertension. N Engl J Med 2002;347:322–9.
- 22. Rubin LJ, Badesch DB, Barst RJ, et al., for the Bosentan Randomized Trial of Endothelin Antagonist Therapy Study Group. Bosentan therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. N Engl J Med 2002;346: 896–903.
- Barst RJ, McGoon M, McLaughlin VV, et al., for the Beraprost Study Group. Beraprost therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:2119–25.
- Sastry BK, Narasimhan C, Reddy NK, Raju BS. Clinical efficacy of sildenafil in primary pulmonary hypertension: a randomized, placebocontrolled, double-blind, crossover study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;43: 1149–53.
- Barst RJ, Langleben D, Frost A, et al., and the STRIDE-1 Study Group. Sitaxsentan therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2004;169:441–7.
- Galiè N, Ghofrani HA, Torbicki A, et al., for the Sildenafil Use in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension (SUPER) Study Group. Sildenafil citrate therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. N Engl J Med 2005;353:2148–57.
- Galiè N, Beghetti M, Gatzoulis MA, et al., for the Bosentan Randomized Trial of Endothelin Antagonist Therapy-5 (BREATHE-5) Investigators. Bosentan therapy in patients with Eisenmenger syndrome: a multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. Circulation 2006; 114:48–54.
- Barst RJ, Langleben D, Badesch D, et al., on behalf of the STRIDE-2 Study Group. Treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension with the selective endothelin-A receptor antagonist sitaxsentan. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:2049–56.
- 29. Galiè N, Olschewski H, Oudiz RJ, et al., for the Ambrisentan in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Multicenter, Efficacy Studies (ARIES) Group. Ambrisentan for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension: results of the Ambrisentan in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Multicenter, Efficacy (ARIES) Study 1 and 2. Circulation 2008;117:3010–9.
- Galiè N, Rubin LJ, Hoeper M, et al. Treatment of patients with mildly symptomatic pulmonary arterial hypertension with bosentan (EARLY study): a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2008;371: 2093–100.
- 31. Galiè N, Brundage BH, Ghofrani A, et al. Tadalafil therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. Circulation 2009. In press.
- 32. Humbert M, Barst RJ, Robbins IM, et al. Combination of bosentan with epoprostenol in pulmonary arterial hypertension: BREATHE-2. Eur Respir J 2004;24:353–9.
- Hoeper MM, Markevych I, Spiekerkoetter E, Welte T, Niedermeyer J. Goal-oriented treatment and combination therapy for pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir J 2005;26:858–63.
- 34. Hoeper MM, Leuchte H, Halank M, et al. Combining inhaled iloprost with bosentan in patients with idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension. Eur Respir J 2006;28:691–4.
- McLaughlin VV, Oudiz RJ, Frost A, et al. Randomized study of adding inhaled iloprost to existing bosentan in pulmonary arterial hypertension. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006;174:1257–63.
- McLaughlin V, Rubin L, Benza R, et al. TRIUMPH I: efficacy and safety of inhaled treprostinil sodium in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) (abstr). Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008;177:A965.

- 37. Simonneau G, Rubin LJ, Galiè N, et al., for the PACES Study Group. Addition of sildenafil to long-term intravenous epoprostenol therapy in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2008;149:521–30.
- 38. Galiè N, Torbicki A, Barst R, et al. Guidelines on diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. The Task Force on Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J 2004;25:2243–78.
- Galie N, Manes A, Negro L, et al. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials in pulmonary arterial hypertension. Eur Heart J 2009; 30:394–403.
- Rich S, Seidlitz M, Dodin E, et al. The short-term effects of digoxin in patients with right ventricular dysfunction from pulmonary hypertension. Chest 1998;114:787–92.
- McLaughlin VM, Archer SA, Badesch DB, et al. ACCF/AHA clinical expert consensus document on pulmonary hypertension: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Expert Consensus Documents. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53:1573-619.
- Sandoval J, Aguirre JS, Pulido T, et al. Nocturnal oxygen therapy in patients with the Eisenmenger syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2001;164:1682–7.
- Bowyer JJ, Busst CM, Denison DM, Shinebourne EA. Effect of long term oxygen treatment at home in children with pulmonary vascular disease. Br Heart J 1986;55:385–90.
- Keogh A, Mayer E, Benza RL, et al. Interventional and surgical modalities of treatment in pulmonary hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54 Suppl S:S67–77.
- 45. McLaughlin VV, Gaine SP, Barst RJ, et al., on behalf of the Treprostinil Study Group. Efficacy and safety of treprostinil: an epoprostenol analog for primary pulmonary hypertension. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2003;41:293–9.
- Tapson VF, Gomberg-Maitland M, McLaughlin VV, et al. Safety and efficacy of IV treprostinil for pulmonary arterial hypertension: a prospective, multicenter, open-label, 12-week trial. Chest 2006;129:683–8.
- Gomberg-Maitland M, Tapson VF, Benza RL, et al. Transition from intravenous epoprostenol to intravenous treprostinil in pulmonary hypertension. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005;172:1586–9.
- Higenbottam TW, Butt AY, Dinh-Xaun AT, Takao M, Cremona G, Akamine S. Treatment of pulmonary hypertension with the continuous infusion of a prostacyclin analogue, iloprost. Heart 1998;79:175-9.
- 49. Galiè N, Hinderliter AL, Torbicki A, et al. Effects of the oral endothelin-receptor antagonist bosentan on echocardiographic and Doppler measures in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:1380–6.
- Barst RJ. Sitaxsentan: a selective endothelin-A receptor antagonist, for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2007;8:95–109.
- Galiè N, Badesch D, Oudiz R, et al. Ambrisentan therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;46:529–35.
- McLaughlin V. Long-term ambrisentan therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension in patients who previously received placebo in ARIES-1 or ARIES-2 (abstr). Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008;177:A697.
- Burgess G, Hoogkamer H, Collings L, Dingemanse J. Mutual pharmacokinetic interactions between steady-state bosentan and sildenafil. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2008;64:43–50.
- Wrishko RE, Dingemanse J, Yu A, Darstein C, Phillips DL, Mitchell MI. Pharmacokinetic interaction between tadalafil and bosentan in healthy male subjects. J Clin Pharm 2008;48:610–8.
- 55. Spence R, Harrison B, Mandagere A, Dufton C. No clinically relevant pharmacokinetic interactions between ambrisentan and tadalafil (abstr). Chest 2008;134:161002.
- Spence R, Mandagere A, Dufton C, Venitz J. Pharmacokinetics and safety of ambrisentan in combination with sildenafil in healthy volunteers. J Clin Pharmacol 2008;48:1451–9.
- 57. Coyne TC, Garces PC, Kramer W. No clinical interactions between sitaxsentan and sildenafil. Presented at the annual meeting of the American College of Rheumatology, November 2005, San Diego, CA.

Key Words: algorithm • evidence-based treatment • pulmonary arterial hypertension.